Wojciech Przybyszewski

Joint work with Mikołaj Bojańczyk, Michał Pilipczuk, Marek Sokołowski, and Giannos Stamoulis

Finite and Algorithmic Model Theory 2025

Map of the universe (a fragment)



Topological minor free

Definition.

A graph G contains clique K_t as a *topological minor* if there are t vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_t \in V(G)$ connected by a pairwise internally vertex-disjoint paths. A graph class \mathscr{C} is *topological minor free* if there exists t such that every graph $G \in \mathscr{C}$ does not contain K_t as a topological minor.

Topological minor free

Definition.

A graph G contains clique K_t as a *topological minor* if there are t vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_t \in V(G)$ connected by a pairwise internally vertex-disjoint paths. A graph class \mathscr{C} is *topological minor free* if there exists t such that every graph $G \in \mathscr{C}$ does not contain K_t as a topological minor.

[Figure at the blackboard]

Topological minor free

Definition.

A graph G contains clique K_t as a *topological minor* if there are t vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_t \in V(G)$ connected by a pairwise internally vertex-disjoint paths. A graph class \mathscr{C} is *topological minor free* if there exists t such that every graph $G \in \mathscr{C}$ does not contain K_t as a topological minor.

[Figure at the blackboard]

What is the dense analog of topological minor free graphs?

FO+CONN logic [Bojańczyk, 2021] [Schirrmacher, Siebertz, Vigny, 2021] is an extension of FO by a simple mechanism for expressing connectivity: we allow the usage of predicates $conn_k(s, t, a_1, \ldots, a_k)$, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, that verify the existence of a path connecting vertices s and t that avoids vertices a_1, \ldots, a_k .

FO+CONN logic [Bojańczyk, 2021] [Schirrmacher, Siebertz, Vigny, 2021] is an extension of FO by a simple mechanism for expressing connectivity: we allow the usage of predicates $conn_k(s, t, a_1, \ldots, a_k)$, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, that verify the existence of a path connecting vertices s and t that avoids vertices a_1, \ldots, a_k .

[Figure at the blackboard]

FO+CONN logic [Bojańczyk, 2021] [Schirrmacher, Siebertz, Vigny, 2021] is an extension of FO by a simple mechanism for expressing connectivity: we allow the usage of predicates $conn_k(s, t, a_1, \ldots, a_k)$, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, that verify the existence of a path connecting vertices s and t that avoids vertices a_1, \ldots, a_k .

[Figure at the blackboard]

Theorem. [Pilipczuk, Schirrmacher, Siebertz, Toruńczyk, Vigny, 2021]

 $_{\rm FO+CONN}$ model checking is FPT on a monotone class of graphs $\mathscr C$ if and only if $\mathscr C$ is topological minor free.

FO+CONN logic [Bojańczyk, 2021] [Schirrmacher, Siebertz, Vigny, 2021] is an extension of FO by a simple mechanism for expressing connectivity: we allow the usage of predicates $conn_k(s, t, a_1, \ldots, a_k)$, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, that verify the existence of a path connecting vertices s and t that avoids vertices a_1, \ldots, a_k .

[Figure at the blackboard]

Theorem. [Pilipczuk, Schirrmacher, Siebertz, Toruńczyk, Vigny, 2021]

 $_{\rm FO+CONN}$ model checking is FPT on a monotone class of graphs $\mathscr C$ if and only if $\mathscr C$ is topological minor free.

What is the dense analog of FO+CONN logic?

FO+CONN logic in a sense allows quantification over small separators – instead of saying there exist k vertices that separate s and t we can say there exists a partition $X \cup Y = V(G)$ with $|X \cap Y| \le k$, $s \in X$, and $t \in Y$, such that there are no edges between X - Y and Y - X.

FO+CONN logic in a sense allows quantification over small separators – instead of saying there exist k vertices that separate s and t we can say there exists a partition $X \cup Y = V(G)$ with $|X \cap Y| \le k$, $s \in X$, and $t \in Y$, such that there are no edges between X - Y and Y - X.

[Figure at the blackboard]

FO+CONN logic in a sense allows quantification over small separators – instead of saying there exist k vertices that separate s and t we can say there exists a partition $X \cup Y = V(G)$ with $|X \cap Y| \le k$, $s \in X$, and $t \in Y$, such that there are no edges between X - Y and Y - X.

[Figure at the blackboard]

An analog of a small separator for a dense graph is a separator of small rank.

 $\mathsf{rk}(X) = |\{N_G(v) \cap \overline{X} \mid v \in X\}|$

FO+CONN logic in a sense allows quantification over small separators – instead of saying there exist k vertices that separate s and t we can say there exists a partition $X \cup Y = V(G)$ with $|X \cap Y| \le k$, $s \in X$, and $t \in Y$, such that there are no edges between X - Y and Y - X.

[Figure at the blackboard]

An analog of a small separator for a dense graph is a separator of small rank.

 $\mathsf{rk}(X) = |\{N_G(v) \cap \overline{X} \mid v \in X\}|$

Therefore, we define *Low Rank* MSO as the fragment of MSO where we can quantify over sets of vertices with bounded rank.

FO+CONN logic in a sense allows quantification over small separators – instead of saying there exist k vertices that separate s and t we can say there exists a partition $X \cup Y = V(G)$ with $|X \cap Y| \le k$, $s \in X$, and $t \in Y$, such that there are no edges between X - Y and Y - X.

[Figure at the blackboard]

An analog of a small separator for a dense graph is a separator of small rank.

 $\mathsf{rk}(X) = |\{N_G(v) \cap \overline{X} \mid v \in X\}|$

Therefore, we define *Low Rank* MSO as the fragment of MSO where we can quantify over sets of vertices with bounded rank.

More formally, instead of the quantifiers $\exists X$ and $\forall X$ we use $\exists_{\mathsf{rk} \leq k} X$ and $\forall_{\mathsf{rk} \leq k} X$, where X is a set of vertices and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Low rank MSO and sparse graphs

Theorem. [Bojańczyk, Pilipczuk, P., Sokołowski, Stamoulis]

Let \mathscr{C} be a weakly sparse (= $K_{t,t}$ -subgraph-free for some t) class of graphs. Then low rank MSO and separator logic have the same expressive power on \mathscr{C} .

Low rank MSO and sparse graphs

Theorem. [Bojańczyk, Pilipczuk, P., Sokołowski, Stamoulis]

Let \mathscr{C} be a weakly sparse (= $K_{t,t}$ -subgraph-free for some t) class of graphs. Then low rank MSO and separator logic have the same expressive power on \mathscr{C} .

Lemma.

Let G be a graph that does not contain the complete bipartite graph $K_{t,t}$ as a subgraph. Let X be a set of rank at most r in G. Then there is a set $S \subseteq V(G)$ of size at most const(r, t) such that X is a union of connected components of G - S and elements of S.

Low rank MSO and sparse graphs

Theorem. [Bojańczyk, Pilipczuk, P., Sokołowski, Stamoulis]

Let \mathscr{C} be a weakly sparse (= $K_{t,t}$ -subgraph-free for some t) class of graphs. Then low rank MSO and separator logic have the same expressive power on \mathscr{C} .

Lemma.

Let G be a graph that does not contain the complete bipartite graph $K_{t,t}$ as a subgraph. Let X be a set of rank at most r in G. Then there is a set $S \subseteq V(G)$ of size at most const(r, t) such that X is a union of connected components of G - S and elements of S.

Nota bene: the theorem gives a model-checking algorithm for low rank MSO on weakly sparse classes of graphs, that is polynomial for a fixed formula.

Flips are the dense analog of vertex deletion. Therefore, another candidate for a dense analog of FO+CONN logic is the FO+FLIPCONN logic.

Flips are the dense analog of vertex deletion. Therefore, another candidate for a dense analog of FO+CONN logic is the FO+FLIPCONN logic.



Source: Stolen from Ioannis' slides.

Flips are the dense analog of vertex deletion. Therefore, another candidate for a dense analog of FO+CONN logic is the FO+FLIPCONN logic.



Source: Stolen from Ioannis' slides.

Flips are the dense analog of vertex deletion. Therefore, another candidate for a dense analog of FO+CONN logic is the FO+FLIPCONN logic.



Source: Stolen from Ioannis' slides.

 $G \models \text{flipconn}_{\Pi,q}(a_1, \ldots, a_q, s, t)$ if after applying the $\{a_1, \ldots, a_q\}$ -flip prescribed by Π to G there is a path connecting s and t in the resulting graph.

Flips are the dense analog of vertex deletion. Therefore, another candidate for a dense analog of FO+CONN logic is the FO+FLIPCONN logic.



Source: Stolen from Ioannis' slides.

 $G \models \text{flipconn}_{\Pi,q}(a_1, \ldots, a_q, s, t)$ if after applying the $\{a_1, \ldots, a_q\}$ -flip prescribed by Π to G there is a path connecting s and t in the resulting graph.

Is low rank MSO equivalent to FO+FLIPCONN logic on the class of all graphs?

Flips are the dense analog of vertex deletion. Therefore, another candidate for a dense analog of FO+CONN logic is the FO+FLIPCONN logic.



Source: Stolen from Ioannis' slides.

 $G \models \text{flipconn}_{\Pi,q}(a_1, \ldots, a_q, s, t)$ if after applying the $\{a_1, \ldots, a_q\}$ -flip prescribed by Π to G there is a path connecting s and t in the resulting graph.

Is low rank MSO equivalent to FO+FLIPCONN logic on the class of all graphs? NO! [Figure at the blackboard]

Low rank MSO on classes of bounded VC dimension

Theorem. [Bojańczyk, Pilipczuk, P., Sokołowski, Stamoulis]

Let $\mathscr C$ be a class of graphs of bounded VC dimension. Then low rank MSO and flip-connectivity logic have the same expressive power on $\mathscr C.$

Low rank MSO on classes of bounded VC dimension

Theorem. [Bojańczyk, Pilipczuk, P., Sokołowski, Stamoulis]

Let $\mathscr C$ be a class of graphs of bounded VC dimension. Then low rank MSO and flip-connectivity logic have the same expressive power on $\mathscr C.$

Again we need a lemma that describes sets of low rank in graphs of bounded VC dimension – for this we use a result of [Bonnet, Dreier, Gajarský, Kreutzer, Mählmann, Simon, Toruńczyk, 2022].

Low rank MSO on the class of all graphs

Is there a way to fix the problem in the previous example?

Low rank MSO on the class of all graphs

Is there a way to fix the problem in the previous example?

[Figure at the blackboard]

Low rank MSO on the class of all graphs

Is there a way to fix the problem in the previous example?

[Figure at the blackboard]

Theorem. [Bojańczyk, Pilipczuk, P., Sokołowski, Stamoulis]

Low rank MSO and flip-reachability logic have the same expressive power on the class of all graphs.

• Can every property of directed graphs definable in low rank MSO be decided in polynomial time?

- Can every property of directed graphs definable in low rank MSO be decided in polynomial time?
- Is the model-checking problem for low rank MSO fixed-parameter tractable on every class of graphs that is monadically dependent with respect to low rank MSO?

- Can every property of directed graphs definable in low rank MSO be decided in polynomial time?
- Is the model-checking problem for low rank MSO fixed-parameter tractable on every class of graphs that is monadically dependent with respect to low rank MSO?
- Can every property of undirected graphs definable in low rank MSO be decided in deterministic logarithmic space?

- Can every property of directed graphs definable in low rank MSO be decided in polynomial time?
- Is the model-checking problem for low rank MSO fixed-parameter tractable on every class of graphs that is monadically dependent with respect to low rank MSO?
- Can every property of undirected graphs definable in low rank MSO be decided in deterministic logarithmic space?

Thank you!